#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 314 063 IR 052 994

AUTHOR

Rollins, Gene; And Others

TITLE

The Quiet Crisis. Funding for Materials in Texas

Academic Libraries.

INSTITUTION

Texas Library Association.

PUB DATE

1 May 89

NOTE

69p.; Figures and appended tables will not reproduce

legibly.

PUB TYPE

Statistical Data (110) -- Reports -

Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS.
\*Academic Libraries; \*Financial Support; Higher

Education; \*Library Acquisition; Library Collection Development; \*Library Expenditures; Library

Materials; Library Surveys; Periodicals; Tables

(Data); \*User Needs (Information)

IDENTIFIERS

Serials Cancellation; \*Texas

#### ABSTRACT

A survey was sent out in the fall of 1988 to all 4-year college and university libraries in Texas; the overall response rate was 77%. The purpose of the survey was to: (1) compare funding patterns in state and private institutions; (2) compare appropriation increases with rising costs for books and journals; and (3) determine whether academic libraries in Texas have the funds necessary to meet the needs of "beir faculty and students. Survey results document that most of the libraries at both public and private institutions of higher education are in distress. Support for the acquisition of books and journals has not been sufficient to adequately keep pace with the costs of the increasingly expensive information resources and expanding enrollments. The vast majority of academic libraries are either in the process of or have recently completed extensive serials cancellation projects; at one state institution, alone, over 11,000 journals were cut over a two-year period. Results also indicate that since fiscal year 1986, funding has not kept pace with inflation, and that the funding situation appears to be worsening. Over half of the report is composed of appendices that present the data in 26 tables and 10 graphs. (SD)

\*

\*



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

<sup>\*</sup> from the original document.

U S CEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction Quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarity represent official OERI position or policy

THE QUIET CRISIS

FUNDING FOR MATERIALS
IN TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES

Texas Library Association
Acquistions and Collection Development Roundtable
Committee on Library Materials Funding

Gene Rollins, University of Houston (Chairman)
Dennis Gibbons, Texas Christian University
Carol Kelley, Texas Tech University
Glenda Thornton, University of North Texas

May 1, 1989

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY Gene Rollins

#### THE QUIET CRISIS: FUNDING FOR MATERIALS IN TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES

#### INTRODUCTION

The Committee on Funding was established by the Texas Library Association's Acquisitions Roundtable to compare trends in funding for library books and journals in academic libraries in the state of Texas over the past decade. The Acquisitions Roundtable became aware through the membership that academic libraries have not received funding increases adequate to meet the rising cost of library materials.

A survey was sent out in the Fall of 1988 to all 4-year college and university libraries in Texas. The purpose of the survey was to compare: 1) funding patterns in state vs. private institutions, and 2) appropriation increases vs. rising costs for books and journals. In addition, the survey will be helpful in determining if academic libraries in the state have the funds necessary to meet the needs of their faculty and students.

Survey results clearly document the fact that most of the libraries at both public and private institutions of higher education in Texas are in trouble Support for the acquisition of books and journals has not been sufficient to adequately keep pace with the costs of these increasingly expensive information resources and expanding enrollments.

The vast majority of academic libraries are either currently in the process of, or have recently completed extensive serials cancellation projects. Thousands of journals have been cancelled as a result of these projects: at one state institution alone over 11,000 journals have been cut over the last two years. At the same time and sometimes at the same institution, book purchases have had to be reduced to keep basic serials subscriptions: state appropriations in 1987/88 were insufficient for one institution to buy any books at all. The "information explosion" continues, but all of Texas private academic libraries will collectively be able to buy 4,700 fewer books in 1989 than they purchased in 1985. At state supported institutions over 65,000 fewer books will be bought in fiscal 1989 than in 1985.

The average U.S. book price has increased 67.5% since fiscal year 1979; the average U.S. journal price has increased 108% during the same time period. The combined library materials price index, weighted for the typical mix of books and journals bought by college and university libraries averaged 7.4% per year-- a 74% cumulative increase for the period. The statistics

<sup>1.</sup> Bowker Annual of Library and Book Trade Information. New York: R. R. Bowker & Co. 33rd Ed. 1988, p. 362.



gathered from Texas academic libraries do not show funding increases to match these inflation rates. Librarians recognize the difficulty library funding agents will have in matching inflation rates for library materials on a year-to-year basis. It is apparent that Texas funding is consistently short of the increasing cost of library materials: only seven state supported academic libraries surveyed received funding increases for library materials which exceeded the rate of inflation during the past decade—and none of these seven exceeded the inflation rate by more than 1.6 percent. Private institutions have fared little better than their state supported counterparts in this respect: only six private libraries reported funding increases that matched or exceeded the inflation rate (three libraries by less than two percent). [see APPENDIX TABLE 1]

The trends in the cost for library materials and funding for academic libraries in Texas are very disturbing. Without libraries adequate to support teaching and research it is very difficult--and often impossible -- for colleges and universities to attract and retain the best faculty. Inadequate libraries shortchange the education provided for students. In an increasingly information-intensive society Texas students will have greater difficulty competing with students educated in other states where the library, as a key element in the educational process, receives stronger support. It is difficult to predict that Texas can continue to prove that it provides more than an average educational environment unless the issue of adequate funding for the libraries in state colleges and universities is addressed.

#### REVIEW OF THE DATA

More than 3 of every 4 libraries from Texas 4-year colleges and universities responded to the survey, so that the overall response rate was 77%. Eighty-seven percent of the state supported institutions and 64% of the private institutions returned questionnaires. On average, the amount budgeted for library materials was increased by 8.53% per year between 1979 and 1989 at private institutions, and by 6.04% at state supported institutions. [see APPENDIX TABLE 1] Because the inflation rate for library materials during this period averaged 7.4% annually, the effective purchasing power of budgets for library materials at private institutions increased at the rate of only 1.13% annually. At state institutions the effective purchasing power was reduced by 1.36% each year. [see APPENDIX TABLE 2] The 1980's has been a period of flat budgets for libraries in private Texas academic institutions, and a period of decline for state supported academic libraries.


While the overall funding trends for the last decade show cause for concern, a closer examination of the past three years reveals that the situation is worsening. Since fiscal 1986 funding has not kept pace with inflation at either public or private institutions. The library materials dollar has lost an average



of 1.43% of its buying power annually since 1986 at private institutions. Prior to 1985 state supported academic libraries enjoyed line-item status in the Texas appropriation process: each library was appropriated funding by the legislature in a separate budget line. Funds were not transferable from the library to other university programs such as athletics. State academic libraries, which lost their line-item status in 1985, have absorbed effective budget reductions of 5.56% a year since 1986, both because of inflation and their less-than-spectacular success in competing with other programs on their respective campuses for funding. [see APPENDIX TABLE 3] When viewed on an individual library basis the data from some institutions is very alarming:, two private and seven state academic libraries have had their materials purchasing power reduced by more than 10% each year since 1986. [see APPENDIX TABLE 4]

#### LIBRARY FUNDING AND THE STUDENTS


The difference in levels of support between public and private institutions is significant when comparing expenditures per student. Private institutions experienced an increase of 14% in enrollment between 1979 and 1987 and public institutions had an enrollment increase of 22% during this period. Libraries at private colleges and universities were able to respond to their increased demand for library materials by spending an additional \$76.10 per student—an increase of 80%. Because Texas state supported institutions experienced an enrollment growth rate much higher than that of private institutions, their libraries were able to increase spending for materials by \$17.96 per student—an increase of only 39% [see APPENDIX TABLE 5]



<sup>2.</sup> College Blue Book: Tabular Data. New York: Macmillan Publishing, 18th, 20th, and 21st eds.



Because the costs for books and journals increased substantially over the period 1979 to 1987, both libraries at private and state supported institutions were able to acquire fewer materials for each student in 1987 than they did in 1979. When expenditures are adjusted for inflation, they show an erosion in expenditure per student by 14% at private and by 33% at state supported libraries. [see APPENDIX TABLE 7] However, there were no private institutions that reported an expenditure per student after inflation which was was lowered by more than 40% between 1979 and 1989. Almost half of the state supported libraries surveyed showed effective budgets reduced by more than 40% during this period. [see APPENDIX TABLE 8]



John Minter Associates of Boulder, Colorado has taken data from the 1985 HEGIS report to derive national statistical norms for college and university libraries. This report shows that nationally the 50th percentile for public baccalaureate degree institutions was \$66 per student for library materials; i.e., half of the institutions spent more than \$66 per student and half spent less. The 50th percentile for public master's degree institutions was \$67 and for doctoral degree institutions, \$110. The weighted average for all public institutions was \$76. Comparing these 1985 national norms with 1985 statistics from Texas libraries makes the point quite clearly that the state's public higher education institutions are not spending as much on

<sup>3.</sup> John Minter Associates. Statistical Norms for College & University Libraries: Derived from U.S. Department of Education 1985 Survey of College & University Libraries. Boulder, Col: John Minter Associates, 1987.



library materials per student as are most other public institutions in the nation. The Texas average of \$64 in 1985 compares unfavorably to the national 50th percentile of \$76 for 1985. [see APPENDIX TABLE 7]

Among private colleges and universities the 50th percentile for B.A. degree institutions was \$73, for MA degree institutions was \$74, and Ph.D. degree institutions was \$188, with a weighted average of \$81. Comparing these 1985 national norms with 1985 statistics from privately supported Texas academic libraries shows that, overall, the private institutions are in a much stronger position nationally than those which are state supported. The Texas average of \$172 per student in 1985 for private institutions is substantially higher than the weighted national norm of \$81. However, within the group of Texas private institutions, the level of funding per student is highly variable. Only 43% of the private libraries responding met or exceeded the national norm. [see APPENDIX TABLE 8]

#### FUNDING AND SERIALS

Another area of concern is that part of the materials budget consumed by serials. In 1979/80 all private institutions reported spending \$1,390,058 [see APPENDIX TABLE 9] or 37% of their materials budgets on serials. By the end of the decade, these same libraries reported spending \$3,764,392--a 267% net increase. This amount for serials is 44% of their materials budgets; 7% more than in 1979/80. [see APPENDIX TABLE 10]

Because of the rapidly rising costs for serials, however, the 267% increase in expenditures for serials resulted in only a 46% increase in the number of serial titles actually acquired. [see APPENDIX TABLE 11] In other words, all of the libraries in all of the private colleges and universities responding to the survey were able to add just 11,242 new journal titles to their collections during the decade of the 1980's.


Significant differences in materials budget allocation patterns exist between state and private institutions. In 1979/80 the state institutions were already allocating 52% of their materials budgets for serials. [see APPENDIX TABLE 10] By 1988/89 allocations for serials at state institutions had increased by 226% over 1979/80 -- to \$13.6 million -- and consumed 66% of their total 1988/89 materials budgets. [see APPENDIX TABLE 10]


Again, because subscription prices effectively doubled during this period significant increases in the acquisition of new titles was not possible with the budgets available. By the end of the decade, together all of the state supported libraries were subscribing to only 18% more serial titles than they received in 1979/80. [see APPENDIX TABLE 111 This increase in serial subscriptions mainly occurred between 1979 and 1985. Since line-item funding for state academic libraries was




eliminated in 1985 the number of subscriptions received has increased by just 5% during the last half of the decade. [see AP-PENDIX TABLE 12]

The fact that private institutions increased spending for serials by a greater percentage than public institutions indicates that the private institutions were able to retain more of their periodical subscriptions. Virtually every state supported school has been engaged in canceling subscriptions during the last few years. According to the survey, 50% of the libraries in private institutions of nigher education, and 92% of state supported institutions have undertaken serials cancellation projects recently or plan to do so this year.









#### FUNDING AND BOOKS

Books are becoming an endangered species in many of the academic libraries in Texas. In 1979/80 all private institutions reported spending \$2,219,711 [see APPENDIX TABLE 13] or an average of 58% [see APPENDIX TABLE 14] of their materials budgets on books. By the end of the decade, these same libraries reported spending \$4,368,227--a 197% net increase in spending. However, the amount of the total materials budget allocated for books was reduced by 7% -- to 51% of the total materials budgets.


Because of the rising costs for books and the reduction in the percentage of the materials budget allocated for books, the 197% increase in the amount spent on books resulted in only a 29% increase -- 27,451 titles -- [see APPENDIX TABLE 15] in the number of books purchased in 1988/89 over the number purchased in 1979/80. Nearly half of the private libraries will buy fewer books this year than they did in 1979/80. [see APPENDIX TABLE 16]

Differences between state and private institutions in book acquisitions are profound. In 1979/80 the state institutions allocated 41% [see APPENDIX TABLE 14] of their materials budgets for books. By 1988/89 serials purchases by state institutions had decreased their book allocation by 11% (to 30% of the total materials budget), and one out of four state academic libraries allocated less than 20% of the materials budget for books. [see APPENDIX TABLE 14A]

Price increases, inadequate appropriations, and decisions to increase the percent of the materials allocation for serials have had a chilling effect on the acquisition of books at state supported colleges and universities. By the end of the decade, all of the state supported libraries together were getting 18% [see APPENDIX TABLE 15] fewer books -- 39,182 titles -- than in 1979/80. Practically every institution bought fewer books. [see APPENDIX TABLE 16]



Since 1985, when the Texas Legislature eliminated line-item funding for academic libraries, it has been even more difficult for many state supported academic libraries to afford the books needed by their users than it was at the beginning of the decade. State supported libraries acquired will buy 65,079 fewer books this year than they did in 1984/85. [see APPENDIX TABLE 17]



Unlike serials, books do not have to be paid for until they are received. This means that a library's book budget can often function as a reserve, at least for part of the year, for contingencies such as underestimating serials prices. With serial inflation in the double digits, and the fluctuation of the dollar against other currencies, scrials prices are increasingly difficult to predict. Libraries with book allocation percentages in the 20% and under range face the prospect of being unable to renew some of their journals at all unless they can predict serial price increases with precision. [see APPENDIX TABLE 14A]

#### FUNDING AND LIBRARY STANDARDS

The "Standards for College Libraries" established by the American Library Association are intended to apply to libraries supporting academic programs at the bachelor's and master's degree levels. The standards "specifically address themselves to institutions defined by the Carnegie Commission on Higher Education as Liberal Arts Colleges I and II and Comprehensive Universities and Colleges I and II." These standards provide a formula for determining the minimum number of items required for a col-



R

lection at these institutions. The standards also recommend that the appropriation for the library ought to be 6% of the total institutional budget. The standards further specify that the appropriation should be increased over the 6% level for additional acquisition and processing of audio-visual and/or microcomputer resources. Finally, the standards suggest that between 35% and 45% of a library's budget should be allocated for

the acquisition of materials.

The "Standards for University Libraries" were developed by a joint Association of Research Libraries/Association of College and Research Libraries Committee and "are intended to apply only to those institutions of higher education which have been characterized by the Carnegie Commission on Higher Education as 'doctoral granting' institutions." Unlike the "Standards for College Libraries," the "Standards for University Libraries" do not include precise formulas for determining collection size or In an effort to provide some guidance for university libraries an appendix to the standards suggests that university libraries should compare themselves quantitatively to other similar institutions. For example, it was found in 1976-77 that the majority of Association of Research Libraries member libraries spent 30% of their budgets on acquisitions. No figure was offered for the minimum percentage of the total university budget that should be allocated to the library.

In order to analyze state funding for academic libraries and compare the trends, the committee compiled expenditure figures for 30 of the Texas state supported institutions of higher education as reported in the Texas Legislative Budget Estimates from 1979-80 to 1987-88. Budget figures for 1988/89 are also included in the analysis. These figures, representing state funding, were then used to make the comparisons. These figures are illustrated by the Graphs A1 through A3 in the Appendix. Each set of graphs portrays the 30 institutions (1) as a whole; (2) divided in terms of eligibility for Proposition II (Prop 2) funding, or for income from the Permanent University Fund (PUF); (3) categorized by which set of library standards apply as determined by Carnegie classification; and (4) divided by Carnegie classification.


The first set of graphs [see APPENDIX: GRAPHS A1 through A4] shows library support as a percent of institutional expenditures. These graphs illustrate that, as a whole, library funding

- 4. College and Research Libraries News, March 1986 p. 190.
- 5. College and Research Libraries News, March, 1986. p.199
- 6. College and Research Libraries News, April, 1979, p. 10.
- 7. C&RL News, April, 1979. p. 115.



State supported academic institutions in Texas can be broadly grouped into those who may receive supplemental appropriations from the Permanent University Fund and those eligible to receive appropriations from Proposition 2 funds. Income derived from state-owned oil producing lands is deposited in the Permanent University Fund. Proposition 2 funding consists of income derived from a large bond issue approved by the voters of the state.


When compared by source of state funding, it is apparent that this decline has not been as dramatic for Prop 2 institutions as for PUF institutions. When examined from a comparison of "college" libraries versus "university" libraries, it appears that perhaps the standards for "college" libraries have been helpful in maintaining a higher level of funding for those institutions. However, as a whole, none of them approach the 6% minimum called for by the Standards for College Libraries.



The decline in funding levels for "university" libraries is pronounced. The lack of line-item funding as well as quantitative standards may be playing a significant role in anis decline.



#### LIBRARY SUPPORT AS A PERCENT



The second set of graphs [see APPENDIX: GRAPHS B1 through B4] shows total library expenditures in dollar amounts and gives a breakdown by the same categories used above. The first graph in this set, however, shows the total dollars spent by libraries over the past ten years, both in actual dollars and then reduced to steady 1979 dollars based on the Consumer Price Index. As a whole, the buying power of academic libraries leveled off in 1984-85 and has been declining ever since. This decrease in buying power for materials, staff, database searches, etc. coupled with a shrinking portion of institutional funds highlights the current funding crisis in academic libraries.

The third set of graphs [see APPENDIX: GRAPHS C1 through C41 shows library material expenditures as a percent of total library expenditures. These graphs clearly indicate that most of the state-supported academic libraries in Texas are regularly spending between 30% to 40% of total library funds on materials. Once again, with the decreasing buying power of the dollar and the libraries' shrinking share of overall institutional resources, it is obvious that the percentage expended for library acquisitions must increase dramatically in order to maintain quality collections. This set of graphs reveals that there has been a slight overall upward trend, indicating that libraries have attempted to supplement their materials acquisitions budget from staff, capital, and maintenance and operations funds. any sustained increase for acquisitions by this method necessitates the reduction of other library services. Books and journals cannot be used unless they can be made accessible to their users by librarians and library staff.

It is evident from these graphs that funding for state supported academic libraries in Texas is on the decline. Further, this decline has accelerated since the concept of line-item funding for state-supported academic libraries was abandoned.



#### CONCLUSION

It has been said that just as scientists need good laboratories, scholars in the social sciences and humanities need good libraries. Recent work by Texas scientists in the areas of fusion and superconductivity clearly indicate that scientists need good libraries—libraries able to rapidly acquire and make available the latest advances in knowledge—just as much as they need their laboratories. It is clear that both private and state academic libraries in Texas need to do a better job in the area of acquisitions if students and researchers are going to be able to compete successfully on the national level.

Clearly the data presented in this report shows evidence of a stronger commitment to materials funding for state academic libraries before line-item funding for libraries was eliminated by the legislature. The philosophy currently in effect regarding library collections seems to indicate a weakening of commitment to higher education in Texas.

Just as clearly, the judgment and expertise of the Library Formula Study Committee of the Coordinating Board should be utilized. If funding was provided at the level recommended by this group, rather than the Texas Legislative Budget Board's staff recommendation, library collections would be of a much higher quality than is currently the case.

This report strongly indicates the wisdom of support for acquisitions of library materials at no less than the level of the national average. With inflation, this indicates a minimum of funding for collections at \$113.00 per student in 1989.

Looking toward the ongoing changes in the publication and distribution of scholarly information, library materials funding needs to go beyond purchases of books and journals. Critical information available on optical disk and online databases must be readily available before we can legitimately say that Texas is committed to education and the future.



### TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES FUNDING SURVEY MATERIALS BUDGETS BY INSTITUTION TYPE (4-YEAR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES)

	MATERIAL 1979/80 3	MATERIAL 1980/81	1981/82	MATERIAL 1982/63	HATERIAL 1983/84	1984/85		1966/87	MATERIAL 1987/88	1988/89	Average Annual Increase (PCT)	AVG ANN INCR ADJ FOR 7.4% AVG ANNUAL INFLATION [4]
INSTITUTION	TYPE PRI	VATE										
AUSTIN COLL	95920			120855		180759	172465	175158	189488	198600	6.89 %	-0.51 %
BAYLOR UNIV	731313		972243	1219132		1350040		1707996	1819139	1754730	9.01 %	1.61 %
INCRNT WORD M HARDIN BAY	67000			74830				84441	99050	112000	4.78 %	
HCHURRY COL	42500	40000 45980	50000 48113	52000 51940	55000 44887	59000 67508		82000	117000	170000	13.40 %	
NAME WITHELD	64750		94000	98500	103000	111700		90722 138100	82637 151775	82637 164000	6.07 % 9.60 %	
NAME WITHELD	55000			71500	80500			87000	101300	104400	6.75 %	
NAME WITHELD	58800		81710	99350	186000	264500		276662	300797	353297	16.53 %	
NAME WITHELD	73749		118180	225863	205692				297897	193312		
NAME WITHELD	43858	43853	47534	50921	59222		53700	61608	67531	71100	5.01 %	
NAME WITHELD	21071	32394	39437	46866	47420	41517			29965	35000	3.56 %	
MANE WITHELD	1135311		1631937	1932705	1831928	1986213		2351061	2334061	2334081	7.17 %	
NAME WITHELD TCU	950000		967725	1010000	1133230			1600000	1763750	2066000	7.94 %	
	418266	491474	482978	551816	609942	677884	743073	830081	813249	916300	8.18 %	0.78 %
All Private	<b>3797</b> 955	4517457	4824496	5605880	5886414	6682244	7334664	7764354	8167639	8555437	8.53 X	1.13 %
INSTITUTION	TYPE STAT	rk .										
· CCSC	117295		277567	241897	234941	248058	254969	260322	265462	313000	8.82 %	1.42 %
etsu .	391098	421649	571242	685383	633904	660453		468815	450122	450122	0.45 %	
LAMAR U	439784	421632	501204	522067	817000	660000		643000	699000	700000	4.76 %	-2.62 %
LAREDO ST	78262		97358	90200	117042	113194		90349	114512	125312	3.88 %	
MIDWST ST PAN AM U	208095	178973	185380	183508	222782	244812		257053	282853	311138	3.97 %	
SF AUS S U	226379 456722	212987 431481	380997 538489	418186	367420	355790		430000	703000	466420	3.60 %	
SRSU	449658	483690	549471	62073 <b>6</b> 642925	786930 813411	655225 655583		906279	958783	1000000	7.96 X	
SUL ROSS	159860	158972	160408	171081	191914	210500		646655 163498	676655 219527	676655 232000	4.16 % 3.56 %	
SWISU	590465	608240	749611	793522	1016559	981945		994456	1050000	1000000	5.25 %	
TAHU **	1644000	1721836	2092696	2335442	2597970	2635442			2142884	2142884	2.46 %	
TAMU-GALY	87548	103608	111677	141880	170490	173548		161508	143759	153000	5.33 %	
TEX TECH	878856	963555	1181528	1423921	1478099	1762909		1906806	1952442	3952442	8.21 %	
TEX ALI-ENGSYL	296584	327181	337925	377142	319672	322768	327151	220407	224661	220000	-4.50 %	
TWU	185537	302269	383831	511924	609261	580749		496243	508757	508757	8.99 %	
i of Nt	625000	650000	780625	900000	1022000	1042000		1300000	. 1300000	1300000	7.51 %	
UH-CLR LE UH-DOWNTN	160000	150000	160000	183500	222044	217000		223500	323500	323500	6.80 %	
UNIV BOUS	500200 993345	300000 983511	191500	248338	292516	301066		300000	300000	300000	-9.24 %	
UT-ARLINGT	612374	620878	1360368 824 <b>3</b> 08	1536427 835435	1685590	1632456		1922184	2270000	2181395	7.82 3	
UT-AUSTIN 4	1967990	2303887	291 3075	3258560	868388 3560082	248867 3602147	1048690 4076070	924307	1333368	1333368	7.38 %	
UT-SAN ANT	370261	427856	420198	513463	596857	608147	798573	3723371 955673	3822087 837184	41 <b>99</b> 667 763655	7.68 % 6.90 %	
UT-TYLER	146500	146500	145204	165204	220025	223025		255483	168016	170516	-0.10 %	
AC\AHAC	170418	175295	179792	169407	224086	198523	195803	247137	280075	220337	1.62 %	
W TX ST	172000	172000	224312	251944	259697	277178		304598	304598	232575	2.40 %	
All State	11928221	12503330	15403766	17219874	18930680	19707502	20799989	20323525	21331225	21276743	6.04 %	-1.36 X

NOTE: Average annual percentage in rease calculated by computing pct. increase for each year and then averaging the result.

16





<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes PUF allocation for U.T.
PUF allocation data not available for Texas ASH

TABLE 2

### TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES FUNDING SURVEY MATERIALS BUDGETS BY INSTITUTION T.PE (4-YEAR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES)

	1979/80 \$	MATERIAL 1980/81 3	1981/82 \$	MATERIAL 1982/83 \$	MATERIAL 1983/84 \$	MATERIAL 1984/85 \$	MATERIAL 1985/86 \$	1986/87 \$	HATERIAL 1987/88 \$	1988/89	Average Annual Increase (PCT)	AVG ANN INCR ADJ FOR 7.4% AVG ANNUAL INFLATION [a]
INSTITUTION All Private Subtotal		VATE 4517467	4824496	5605880	5886414	6682244	7334664	7784354	8167639	8555437	8.53	x 1.13 %
	. '''	453:1 7	•-	150200.	37		~					
* INSTITUTION All State	11928221	12503330				_		20323525				× -1.36 ×
* Pots :		<b>የ</b>	10905700							^		

APPENDIX Table 2

44.4



TABLE 3

## TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES FUNDING SURVEY MATERIALS BUDGETS BY INSTITUTION TYPE (4-YEAR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES) LINE ITEM LIBRARY FUNDING NO LONGER IN EFFECT

·			MATERIAL 1987/88		Average Annual Increase (PCT)	AVG ANN INCR ADJ FOR 7.4% AVG ANNUAL INFLATION [a]	
INSTITUTION All Private	7334664		8167639		5.97 %	-1.43	×
INSTITUTION All_State		20323525	21331225	21278743	1.84 %	-5.58	*
. <b>.</b>		• ,		. ,			
	_						

(a) Bowker Annual. 33rd Ed. 1988 p. 362

APPENDIX Table 3 TABLE 4

## TRIAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES FUNDING SURVEY MATERIALS BUDGETS BY INSTITUTION TYPE (4-YEAR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES) LINE ITEM LIBRARY FUNDING NO LONGER IN EFFECT

		W4.55007.45	***	MAGENTAL		AMO AMI THOS
	1985/86	1986/87	MATERIAL 1987/88	1988/89	average Annual	AVG ANN INCR ADJ FOR 7.4%
	1905/00	200707	1001700	1800/08	INCREASE	
,	•	•	•	•	(PCT)	AVG ANNUAL
					(PCI)	INFLATION [a]
INSTITUTION AUSTIN COLL	TYPE PRI	VATE 175158	189488	198600	0.00 *	
BAYLOR UNIV	1598302	1707996			2.22 %	-5.18 %
INCRINT WORD	99898	84441	1819139 99050	1754730 112000	8.10 % 2.22 %	-1.30 %
M HARDIN BAY*	76250	82000	117000	170000	2.22 % 22.68 %	-5.18 % 15.28 %
HCMURRY COL	97930	90722	82637	82637	3.33 %	-4.07 %
NAME WITHELD*	115000	138100	151775	164000	9.02 %	1.62 %
NAME WITHELD	80500	87000	101300	104400	6.14 %	-1.26 %
NAME WITHELD	273297	276662	300797	353297	6.83 %	-0.57 %
NAME WITHELD	188292	262633	297897	193312	-5.35 %	-12.75 %
NAME WITHELD	53700	61608	67531	71100	6.84 %	-0.56 X
NAME WITHELD	33295	36892	29965	35000	-5.92 %	-13.32 %
NAME WITHELD	2211285	2351061	2334061	2334061	3.85 %	-3.55 %
NAME WITHELD	1591377	1600000	1763750	2066000	7.42 %	0.02 %
TCU	743073	830081	813249	916300	7.11 %	-0.29 %
All Private	7334664	7784354	8167639	8555437	5.97 %	-1.43 Z
			0201000	0000101		*****
INSTITUTION						
CCSU	254969	260322	265462	313000	5.47 %	-1.93 %
ETSU	590278	467815	450122	450122	-10.49 %	-17.89 %
LAMAR U	646000	643000	699000	700000	1.38 %	-6.02 %
LAREDO ST	108000	90348	114512	125312	1.24 %	-6.06 %
HIDWST ST **	244812	257053	282853	311138	5.74 %	-1.66 %
PAN AM U **	475000	430000	703000	466420	0.69 %	-6.71 X
SP AUS S U	886498	906279	958783	1000000	3.83 %	-3.57 %
SHSU	595600	646655	676655	676655	0.56 %	-6.84 X
SUL ROSS **	172846	183496	219527	232000	1.45 %	-5.95 %
TAMU **	1008607	994456	1050000	1000000	0.38 %	-7.02 <b>%</b>
TAMU-GALV	2559826 184185	2501884	2142884 143759	2142884	-5.51 %	-12.91 %
TEX TECH	1915772	361508 1906806	1952442	153000 1952442	-3.64 %	-11.04 %
TEX A&I-KNGSVL	327151	220407	224661	220000	2.46 % -11.83 %	-4.94 % -19.23 %
TWU	484316	496243	508757	508757	-3.76 %	-19.23 %
U OF NT	1300000	1300000	1300000	1300000	4.96 %	-11.16 % -2.44 %
UR-CLR LE	223500	223500	323500	323500	8.46 %	1.06 %
UH-DOWNTN	285000	300000	300000	300000	-0.16 %	-7.56 %
UNIV HOUS	1878184	1922184	2270000	2181395	4.00 %	-7.86 x -3.40 x
UT-ARLINGT	1048690	924307	1333368	1333368	6.89 %	-0.71 %
UT-AUSTIN	4076070	3723371	3822087	4139667	3.43 %	-3.97 %
UT-SAN ANT	798573	955673	837164	763655	4.18 %	-3.22 X
UT-TYLER	263131	255483	168016	170516	-9.59 %	-16.99 %
AC\AHAC	195803	247137	280075	220337	1.26 %	-6.14 %
W TX ST	277178	304598	304598	232575	-5.49 %	-12.89 %
All State	20799989	20323525	21331225	21276743	1.84 %	-5.58%

<sup>#</sup> Incomplete data supplied from all private institutions value ## Incomplete data supplied from all state institutions value

<sup>(</sup>a) Bowker Annual 1988 p. 362

TABLE 4A

#### TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES FUNDING SURVEY MATERIALS BUDGETS BY INSTITUTION TYPE (4-YEAR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES) LINE ITEM FUNDING FOR LIBRARIES IN EFFECT

	HATERIAL MATERIAL 1979/80 1980/81 \$	MATERIAL 1981/82				Average Annual Increase (PCT)	AVG ANN INCR ADJ FOR 7.4% AVG ANNUAL INFLATION [a]	
INSTITUTION All Private	TYPE PRIVATE 3797955 4517457	4824496	5805880	5886414	6682244	10.58 %	3.18	×
· INSTITUTION	TYPE STATE 11928221 12503930	15403768	17219874	19930680	16707502	9.39 %	1.99	*

# TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES FUNDING SURVEY MATERIALS BUDGETS BY INSTITUTION TYPE (4-YEAR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES) LINE ITEM FUNDING FOR LIBRARIES IN EFFECT

	HATERIAL 1979/60	HATERIAL 1990/81	HATERIAL 1961/62	MATERIAL 1962/83	MATERIAL 1983/84	HATERIAL 1984/85 3	Average Annual Increase (PCT)	AVG ANN INCR ADJ FOR 7.4% AVG ANNUAL INFLATION (a)
INSTITUTION	TYPE PRI	VATE						
AUSTIN COLL	95920	109126	144409	120855	137610	180759	10.62 %	3,22 %
Baylor univ	731313	826978	972249	1219132	1270663	1350040	11.34 %	3.94. %
INCRNT WORD	67000	74000	78980	74830	101000	99035	6.63 %	
M HARDIN BAY*	42500	40000	50000	52000	55000	59000	5.97 %	
MCHURRY COL	40617	45980	48113	51940	44687	67508	8.25 %	0.85 %
NAME WITHELD*	64750	73000	94000	98500	103000	111700	10.07 %	2.67 %
NAME WITHELD	55000	62500	67250	71500	80500	60500	7.26 %	
NAME WITHELD	58600 73749	83366 95873	81710	99350	166000	264500	24.29 %	16.69 %
MAME WITHELD	43656	43653	116180 47534	225663 50921	205692 59222	202327	15.64 %	
MANE WITHKLD	21071	32394	39437	46668	47420	53314	3.55 X 11.13 X	
NAME WITHELD	1135311	1579113	1631937	1932705	1831928	41517 1986213	9.83 %	3.73 % 2.43 %
NAME WITHFLD	950000	960000	967725	1010000	1133230	1507947	8.35 %	0.95 %
TCU	418266	491474	462976	551616	609342	677684	9.03 %	1.63 %
All Private	3797955	4517457	4824486	5605880	5866414	8682244	10.58 %	3.18 %
INSTITUTION								
CCSU	117295	184106	277567	241697	234911	248058	11.51 %	4.11 %
ETSU	391098	421649	571242	685383	633904	860453	9.20 %	1.80 X
LAMAR U LAREDO ST	439784 78262	421632	601204	522067	617000	660000	7.49 %	
MIDWAT AT **	208095	73224 178973	97358	90200	117042	113194	5.90 %	-1.50 <b>%</b>
PAN AM U **	226379	212987	185380 380997	193506	222782	244612	2.56 %	-4.84 X
SF AUS S U	458722	431481	538469	416166 620736	367420 768930	355790	5.92 %	-1.46 X
SHSU	449658	463690	549471	842925	613411	655225 655583	11.27 % 7.03 %	3.67 %
SUL BOSS **	159860	158972	160408	171061	191914	250503	7.03 % 5.25 %	-0.37 % -2.15 %
SWYSU	590465	506240	749611	793522	1016559	145	9.15 %	1.75 %
TAMU **	1644000	1721836	2092696	2335442	2597970	2 ,442	8.83 %	1.43 %
TAMU-GALV	87546	103608	111677	141880	170490	3546	12.51 %	5.11 %
TEX TECH	878856	963555	1181528	1423921	1476099	1762909	12.62 %	5.42 %
TEX AAI-KHGSVL	296584	327181	337925	377142	319672	322766	1.16 %	-6.22 ¥
TWU	165537	302269	383831	511924	609261	580749	19.19 %	11.79 %
U OF MT	625000	650000	780625	900000	1022000	1042000	9.54 %	2.14 %
UH-CLR LE	160000	150000	160000	183500	222044	217000	5.46 %	-1.92 %
UH-DOWNTN	500200	300000	191500	246338	292516	301066	-16.56 %	-23.90 %
UNIV HOUS	993345	963511	1360368	1536427	1685590	1632458	10.68 %	3.46 %
UT-ARLINGT UT-AUSTIN	612374	620676	624306	835435	668388	948667	7.93 X	0.53 %
UT-SAN ANT	1967990	2303887	2996075	3258580	3580062	3602147	11.07 %	3.67 %
UT-TYLER	370251 146500	427856	420198	513463	596657	606464	9.07 %	1.67 %
VC/UHVC	170416	146500 175295	145204 179792	165204	220025	223025	7.49 %	0.09 %
W TX ST	172000	172000	224312	169407 251944	224086 259697	196523 277178	1.91 X 8.72 X	-5.49 % 1.32 %
7	2.2000	112000	267316	201844	208081	211110	0.72 %	1.32 %
All State	11926221	12503330	15403766	17219874	18930660	19707502	9.39 %	1.99 %

<sup>\*</sup> Incomplete data supplied from all private institutions value
\*\* Incomplete data supplied from all state institutions values



## LIBRARY MATERIALS EXPENDITURE PER STUDENT ACADEMIC YEARS 1979/60 - 1988/87

	TUDENTS 1979/80 [a]	LIBRARY MATERIALS 1979/80	EXP PER STUDENT 1979/80	STUDENTS 1954/85 [b]	LIBRARY MATERIALS 1964/85	EXP PER STUDENT 1984/85	STUDENTS 1988/87 [c]	LIBRARY MATERIALS 1986/87	EXP PER STUDENT 1986/87	NET CHANGE 1979-1987	NET CHANGE 1979-1987	
INSTITUTION TYPI	E PRIVAT 39694	3797955	95.68	40547	6882244 6682244	164.80	45315 45315	7784354 7784354	171.78	76.10 76.10	80 %	
INSTITUTION TYPE	E STATE 260255	11928221	45.83	310990	19707502	63.37	318809	20323525	63.79	17.96	39 %	

<sup>(</sup>a) (b) (c)

College Blue Book-Tabuler Data (Macmillan, 18th Ed.)

20th Ed.)
21st Ed.)

### LIBRARY MATERIALS EXPENDITURE

		BTUDENT		
ACADENIC	YEARS	1979/80	-	1986/87

							• • • •				
	STUDENTS 1979/60 [a]	LIBRARY HATERIALS 1979/80	EXP PER STUDENT 1979/80 \$	STUDENTS 1984/85 [b]	LIBRARY MATERIALS 1984/85	EXP PER STUDENT 1984/65	8TUDENTS 1986/87 [c]	LIBRARY MATERIALS 1986/67	EXP PER STUDENT 1986/67	NET CHANGE 1979-1967	NET CHANGE 1979-1987
** ***********		-									
** INSTITUTION !	LILE BELAND										
AUSTIN COLL BAYLOR UNIV	1190	95920	80.61	1181	160759	153.06	1161	175156	150.67	70.26	87 %
INCRMT WORD	8947	731313	81.74	10473	1350040	128.91	11556	1707996	147.80	68.06	81 X
	1573	87000	42.59	1357	99035	72.98	1576	84441	53.58	10.99	26 %
M HARDIN BAY+ MCHURRY COL	1096	42500	38.71	1176	59000	50.17	1346	62000	60.83	22.12	57 X
KAME WITHELD	1162	40617	34.95	1413	67508	47.78	1703	90722	53.27	22.12 18.32	52 ×
NAME WITHELD	1193	64750	54.27	1834	111700	50.91	1604	138100	75.55	22.26	41 X
	1070	55000	51.40	1129	80500	71.30	980	67000	66.78	37.37	73 %
NAME WITHELD	905	58800	64.97	1001	264500	264.24	1119	276862	247.24	162.27	261 X
MAME WITHELD	2668	73749	27.44	2684	202327	75, 36	2540	262633	103.40	75.96	277 %
MANE WITHELD	700	43658	62.37	683	53314	78.06	795	61606	77.49	15.13	24 %
NAME WITHELD	1045	21071	20.16	906	41517	45.82	776	76892	47.54	27.36	136 %
MANE WITHELD	9240	1135311	122.87	6150	1986213	322.96	9019	2351061	260.66	137.81	112 %
NAME WITHELD	3476	950000	273.30	3613	1507947	395.48	4022	1600000	397.81	124.51	46 %
TCU	5407	416266	77.36	6747	677884	100.47	8913	630081	120.02	42.67	55 %
454 5.4						_	*****				<b>33 4</b>
All Private	39694	3797955	95.68	40547	6662244	164.80	45315	7764354	171.80	. 76.10	80 X
** INSTITUTION 1	TYPE STATE										
CCEU	1200	117295	87.75	1987	048058	101 40					
ETSU	5129	391098	76.25	7135	246059	131.46	3885	260322	67.01	-30.74	-31 %
LAMAR U	13553	439784	32.45		660453	92.57	6916	466815	67 79	-8.47	-11 %
HIDNET ST **	2903	206095	79.94	13553 4857	660000	48.70	14094	643000	45.62	13.17	41 %
PAN AM U **	6724	226379	33.67	8894	244812 3557 <b>9</b> 0	50.40	4482	257053	57.35	-22.59	-28 ¥
SF AUG S U	10861	456722	41.97	12600		40.00	9166	430000	48.91	13.25	39 X
SBSU	10939	449656	41.11		<b>6</b> 55225	67.68	12350	906279	73.38	31.41	75 🕱
SUL ROSS **	1546	159860	103.40	10472	655563	62.60	10466	645655	61.67	20.56	50 🛣
SWTSU	12753	590465	46.30	2024 1 <b>9</b> 202	210500	104.00	2313	183496	78.33	-24.07	-23 <b>%</b>
TANU **	33499	1644000	49.08		981945	51.14	19776	994456	50.28	3.98	9 %
TAMU-GALY	450	87548	194.55	36827	2635442	71.56	36570	2501884	66.41	19.34	39 %
TEX TECH	18096	878656	48.57	600	173548	289.25	524	161508	308.22	113.67	58 %
TEX AAI-KNGSVL	6562	296564	45.20	23433	1762908	75.23	23589	1906606	60.83	32.27	66 %
TWO	7935	185537		5508	322768	58.60	3012	220407	43.96	-1.22	-3 E
TOF NT	17158		23.36	7827	580749	74.20	7966	496243	62.30	38.91	166 X
UH-CLR LE	5597	625000 160000	36.43	21414	1942000	48.66	21271	1300000	61.12	24.69	58 %
UH-DOMNTH	4600		28.59	6392	217000	33.95	6691	223500	33.40	4.62	17 %
UNIV HOUS		500200	108.74	7339	301066	41.02	7255	300000	41.35	-67.39	-62 %
	15723	993345	63.18	30545	1632456	59.99	30000	1922184	64.07	C.89	1 %
ut-arlipgt ut-austin	20166	612374	30.37	22000	948667	43.12	23243	924307	30.77	9.40	31 %
UT-SAN ANT	46146	1967990	42.65	47973	3602147	75.09	46140	3723'371	60.70	38.05	69 %
AC\OHAC	9831	379251	37.66	11145	606464	54.42	12413	955373	76.99	38 33	104 *
	2362	170418	72.15	2889	196523	68.02	3851	247,137	64.17	-7 48	-11 %
W TI ST	6800	172000	25.29	6474	277176	42.81	6028	304598	60.53	25.24	100 %
All State	260255	11703459	45.83	310990	19371283	63.37	314023	19977694	63.79	17.96	39 Z

25

APPENDIX Table &

1119.65

a Incomplete data supplied from All Private Institutions value
as Incomplete data supplied from All State Institutions value
(a) CULLEGE BLUE BOOK 18th Ed (b) CULLEGE BLUE BOOK 20th Ed (b) COLLEGE BLUE BOOK 20th Ed (c) COLLEGE BLUE BOOK 21st Ed

LIBRARY MATERIALS EXPENDITURE PER STUDENT
ADJUSTED FOR ANNUAL 7.4% LIBRARY MATERIALS INFLATION RATE (a)
(51.8% AGGREGATE INFLATION RATE FOR THE PERIOD)
[a] Bowker p.362

	EXPENDITURE PER STUDENT 1979/80	EXPDENDITURE PER STUDENT 1986/87 (UNADJUSTED) \$	EXPENDITURE PER STUDENT 1986/87 (ADJUSTED ) \$	NET CHANGE AFTER INFLATION \$	NET CHANGE AFTER INFLATION X
INSTITUTION TYP	E PRIVATE 95.68	171.78	82.46	-13.22	-14 %
INSTITUTION TYP	E STATE 45.83	63.79	30.62	-15.21	-33 %



<sup>(</sup>a) Bowker Annual of Library and Book Trade Information. (New York: R. R. Bowker. 1988) p. 362

	EXPENDITURE PER STUDENT 1979/80 \$	EXPDENDITURE PER STUDENT 1986/87 (UNAVJUSTED) \$	EXPENDITURE PER STUDENT 1986/87 (ADJUSTED ) \$	NET CHANGE AFTER INFLATION 3	MET CHANGE AFTER INFLATION %
INSTITUTION T	YPE PRIVATE				
AUSTIM COLL	60.61	150.67	72.42	-8.19	-10 %
Paylor Univ	61.74	147.80	70.94	-10.79	-13 %
INCRNT WORD	42.59	53.56	25.72	-18.88	-40 %
M HARDIN BAY	38.71	60.63	29.20	-9.51	-25 %
MCHURRY COL	34.85	53.27	25.57	-9.36	-27 %
NAME WITHELD NAME WITHELD	54.27	76.55	36.75	-17.53	-32 %
NAME WITHELD	51.40 64.97	86.78 247.24	42.61 118.66	-8.79	-17 %
NAME WITHELD	27.44	103.40	49.63	53.70 22.20	63 % 61 %
NAME WITHELD	62.37	77.49	37.20	-25,17	-40 %
MANE WITHELD	20.16	47.54	22.62	2.68	13 %
MARK WITHELD	122 87	260.68	125.13	2.26	2 %
NAME WITHELD	27 .30	397.81	190.95	-62.35	-30 %
TCU	77.36	120.02	57.61	-19.75	-26 %
INSTITUTION 1	YPE STATE				
CCSU	97.75	87.01	32.16	-65.58	-87 ≴
RTSU	76.25	67.79	32.54	-43.71	-57 %
LAMAR U	12.45	45.62	21.90	-10.55	-33 %
MIDWST ST	79.94	57.35	27.53	-52, 12	-66 %
PAN AM U	33.67	46.91	22.52	-11.15	-33 %
SP AUS S U	41.97	73.38	35.22	-6.75	-16 %
ered	41.11	81.67	29.60	-11.51	-26 %
SUL ROSS	103.40	79.33	38.08	-65.32	-63 %
SWTSU	46.30	50.28	24.13	-22.17	-46 %
TAMU **	49.08	66.41	32.64	-16.24	-33 %
TAMU-GALY	194.55	308.22	147.95	-46.60	-24 %
TEX TECH	48.57	60.63	36.60	-9.77	-20 %
TEX ALI-KNGSVL	45.20	43.98	21.11	-24.09	-53 %
TWU U OF NT	23.36	62.30	29.90	6.52	28 %
	36.43	61.12	29.34	-7.09	-19 %
UH-CLR LE UH-DOWNTN	26.59 108.74	33.40	16.03	-12.55	-44 %
UNIV BOUS	63.16	41.35 64.07	19.65	-66.69	-62 %
UT-ARLINGT	30.37	39.77	30.75 19.09	-32.42 -11.26	-51 % -37 %
UT-AUSTIN **	42.65	50.70	19.09 38.73	-11.26 -3.91	-37 % -9 %
UT-SAN ANT	37.66	76.99	36.73 36.96	-0.71	-2 <b>X</b>
AC\AHAC	72.15	84.17	30.60	-41.35	-57 %
W TX ST	25.29	50.53	24.25	-1.04	-4 %
	23.20	-5.55		2.54	• •

<sup>(</sup>a) Bowker Annual p. 362

<sup>\*\*</sup> includes PUF allocation for U.T. PUF allocation data not available for Texas ASM

#### TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES FUNDING SURVEY SERIALS BUDGETS BY INSTITUTION TYPE (4-YEAR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES)

	SERIALS 1979/80 \$	SERIALS 1990/81	SERIALS 1981/82 \$	SERIALS 1982/83 \$	SERIALS 1983/84 \$	SERIALS 1984/85 \$	SERIAL8 1985/86 \$	SERIALS 1986/87	SERIAL8 1987/88	SERIALS 1988/89 \$
INSTITUTION TY	PE PRIVATE 1390058	1871660	`98447	2010393	2187899	2530053	<b>2836457</b>	3077300	3520836	3748178
## INSTITUTION TY	9E STATE 5240512	<b>7430510</b>	8478963	9 <b>3</b> 0310 <del>9</del>	10458346	10900536	11942335	13164692	14009172	13591009

APPENDIX Table 9

### TEXAS ACADEMIC LIPRARIES FUNDING SURVEY SERIALS BUDGETS BY INSTITUTION TYPE (4-YEAR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES)

	SERIALS 1979/60	SERIALE 1960/81	SERIALS 1981/62	SERIALE 1982/83	SERIALS 1923/84	EERIALS 1984/65	SERIALE 1995/66	SERIALS 1986/67	SERIALS 1967/89	SERIALE 1986/89
	\$	3	1801/02	3	\$	\$	\$	3	\$	\$
	•	•	•	•	•	_	_	•		
THE TENT OF THE										
INSTITUTION TY	26858	28373	44767		90045	50613	55189	59554	56741	69510
BAYLOR UNIV	23402C	251173 251173	311118	37465 329166	38615 317671	337510	415559	461159	527550	508872
INCRNT WORD	30150	33300	36331	359186	45450	50508	60938	6'642	63392	62720
M HARDIN BAY+	15725	18400	21500	26520	2660C	23010	25925	27660	36270	4420C
MCHURRY COL	6499	6597	3660	9349	10773	3776	12731	13608	15701	15701
MANE WITHELD+	23956	29930	40420	3546C	38110	42446	44850	55240	65263	72160
NAME WITHELD	25300	30000	32280	32175	27835	37635	33910	33930	43559	44692
MAME WITHELD	18816	23342	28598	33779	59520	79350	7925€	80232	81215	98923
MAME WITHELD	44249	53689	67363	85752	78163	97117	124273	128690	169801	160449
NAME WITHELD	7422	7421	9031	10693	15396	12262	14499	15402	16863	17775
HAME WITHELD	5900	13605	13803	20037	19916	19928	20643	20660	17979	21000
NAME WITHELD	20435€	521107	652775	483176	512940	635568	. 751637	799361	840262	840262
HAME WITHELD	579500	585600	590312	606000	679938	769053	795888	860000	1126800	1260260
TCU	187306	240822	241489	264872	304971	S08057	401259	439943	455419	531454
All Private										
WIT SITANCE	1390058	1871880	2098447	2010393	2187899	2530053	2836457	3077300	3520838	3746176
INSTITUTION TY	PE STATE									
CCSU	82166	115987	124905	135350	145663	158757	170829	197845	204408	222230
ETSU	158439	189742	239922	274153	297935	290599	277431	262536	247567	252068
LAMAR U	259473	255628	335807	339344	413390	435600	445740	475820	531240	553000
LAREDO ST	36001	38078	46732	52316	57351	52069	62640	65051	67562	77693
MIDWET ST **	108209	105594	101959	99094	120302	134647	139543	164514	124455	192906
PAN AM U **	117717	125882	190498	221639	227300	231284	269750	275200	407740	367129
U 8 SUA TE	219227	245944	280014	322784	264015	324986	336869	407826	479392	470000
SHEU	242815	275703	340872	372896	398717	452352	381184	439725	466692	453259
EUL ROBS **	53127	93793	60204	90662	97676	113670	112350	119272	136107	143840
SWTSU	242091	346697	359813	40469€	528611	608808	825338	686175	766500	760000
TAMU **	354680	1015883	1150983	1261139	1564762	1423139	1561494	1826375	1735738 127948	1071442 133110
Tamu-galv Tex Tech	43774 518525	45588 635948	59189 720732	85128 783157	90360	90245 1040116	106669 1283567	117901 1411036		1425283
TEX ALI-KNGSYL	133463	160319	175721	131028	901640 168229	174295	186478	130040	1425283 146276	145200
TWU	96479	172293	234137	302035	389927	346449	319649	337445	376480	356130
U OF NT	366750	396500	444958	513000	490' 70	531420	585000	702000	632000	962000
UH-CLR LE	115200	115500	121600	143130	17'	177940	194445	194445	249095	249095
UH-DOWNTH	25010	57000	65940	73901	78979	84298	94050	102000	111000	120000
THIY HOUS	536406	751539	884239	952565	977642	1044500	1183256	1460082	1589000	1523978
UT-ARLINGT	330682	360109	428640	492907	564452	607147	660675	610043	613349	613349
UT-AUSTIN	1161114	1313216	1439078	1496938	1637638	1765052	1986514	2085068	2293252	2561797
UT-SAN ANT	246088	273828	273129	318347	381986	376008	511087	563647	535786	. 49637€
UT-TYLER	92040	109875	111807	123903	151617	160578	173666	176263	162976	170516
VC/UHVC	71576	67646	79108	74539	105320	80574	66111	108740	145639	107965
W TX ST	127280	132440	186179	186439	192176	194025	194025	225403	231494	139545
All State	6240512	7430510	8478963	9303109	10458348	10900536	11942355	13164692	14009172	13591009

<sup>\*</sup> Incomplete data supplied from all private institutions value \* Incomplete data supplied from all state institutions value



APPENDIX Table 9A

#### TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES LIBRARY MATERIALS PER CANT OF BUDGET FOR SERIALS

1	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1979/80	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1980/81	FOR SERIALS	FOR BERIALS	FOR Serials	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1984/85	For Serials	FOR	FOR SERIALS		NET CHANGE IN PCT FOR SERIALS
INSTITUTION TYPE	PE PRIVAT	<b>T</b>	43	36	37	38	39	<b>40</b>	43	44	7
INSTITUTION TYPE	PE STATE	59	55	54	54	55	57	64	65	66	14

APPENDIX Table.10

TABLE 10A

## TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES LIBRARY MATERIALS PER CENT OF BUDGET FOR SERIALS

	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1979/80	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1960/81	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1961/62	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1982/83	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1983/84	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1964/65	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1965/66	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1986/67	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1967/66	PER CENT FOR SERIALS 1986/69	NET CHANGE IN PCT FOR SERIALS
INSTITUTION	***** ********************************	P#									
AUSTIN COLL	28	26	31	31	28	26	32	34	31	35	7
BAYLOR UNIV	32	34	32	27	25	25	26	27	29	29	- <b>j</b>
INCRNT WORD	45	45	48	46	45	51	61	73	64	56	11
M RARDIN BAY*	37	41	43	51	52	39	34	34	31	26	-11
HCHURRY COL	16	15	18	16	24	13	13	15	19	19	3
NAME WITHELD*	37	41	43	36	37	38	39	40	43	44	7
NAME WITHELD NAME WITHELD	46 32	48 28	48 35	45 34	47 32	47	42	39	43	43	-3
NAME WITHELD	52 60	20 56	55 57	38	32 36	30 46	29 66	· 29	27 57	28 <b>63</b>	-4
NAME WITHELD	17	17	19	21	26	23	27	25	25	25	23 6
NAME WITHELD	28	42	35	43	42	46	62	56	60	80	32
NAME WITHELD	18	33	40	25	28	32	34	34	36	36	16
NAME WITHELD	61	61	61	60	60	51	50	55	64	61	0
TCU	40	49	50	48	50	54	54	53	56	58	18
THORTONETON	#WD# 0#48#										
Institution CCSU	TIPE STATE	63	45	56	62	64	67	76	77	71	
ETSU	40	45	42	40	47	44	47	56	55	56	18 16
LAMAR U	59	63	67	65	87	66	69	74	76	79	20
LARRIDO ST	48	52	48	58	49	46	58	72	59	62	16
HIDWST ST **	52	59	55	54	54	55	67	64	44	62	10
PAN AH U **	52	59	50	53	62	65	61	64	56	83	31
SF AUS S U	48	57	52	52	36	38	38	45	50	47	-1
8880	54	57	62	58	65	69	64	88	89	67	13
SUL ROSS ** SWTSU	52	59 57	50 48	53	51	54	65	65	62	62	10
TAMU **	41 52	59	55	51 54	52 61	62 54	62 6 <u>1</u>	89 73	73 81	76 50	36 -2
TAMU-GALV	50	44	53	60	53	52	59	73	89	67	37
TEX TECH	59	66	61	55	61	59	67	74	73	73	14
TEX ALI-KNOSVL		49	52	46	52	54	57	59	66	88	21
TWU	52	57	61	59	64	60	66	68	74	70	18
U OF RT	59	61	57	57	48	51	45	54	64	74	15
UH-CLR CE	72	77	76	76	76	82	67	67	77	77	5
N1WOD-EU	5	19	36	30	27	26	33	34	37	40	35
UNIA HORE	54	76	65	62	58	57	63	77	70	70	16
UT-ARLINGT UT-AUSTIN	54 59	56 57	52	59 46	65	64	63	66	46	46	-6
UT-SAN ANT	67	64	46 65	62	46 64	49 62	46 64	56 59	60 64	61 65	2 -2
UT-TYLER	56	75	77	75	69	72	66	69	97	100	44
AC\AHAC	42	50	44	44	47	41	45	44	52	49	7
W TX ST	74	77	83	74	74	70	70	74	76	60	-14
	-						. •	. •	. •		

<sup>\*</sup> Incomplete date supplied from all private institutions value
\*\* Incomplete date supplied from all atete institutions value



#### BUYING POWER OF LIBRARY MATERIALS BUDGETS ACADEMIC YEARS 1979/80 - 1988/89 SERIALS

\$4	SERIALS USSCRIPTIONS #57.23 EACH 1979/80 [a]	SERIALS SUBSCRIPTIONS \$84.10 EA 1784-85 (a)	SERIALS SUBSCRIPTIONS \$72.32 EA 1986-87 [a]	SUBSCRIPTIONS \$105.45 EA	SERIAL SUBSCRIPTIONS NET CHANGE 1979/80 - 1998/89	SERIALS SUBSCRIPTIONS PCT CHANGE 1980 -1989
INSTITUTION TYPE	E <b>PR</b> IVATE 242 <b>89</b>	29395	33333	35545	11242	46 %
INSTITUTION TYPE	E STATE 109043	126603	142598	1299 <b>9</b> 6	19794	1 <b>8 %</b>

<sup>(</sup>a) <u>Bowker Annual</u>. 33rd Ed. 1988, p. 362. (c) "Frice Index for 1988: U.S. Periodicals." <u>Library Journal</u>, April 15, 1988, p. 35

	SERIALS SUBSCRIPTIONS \$67.23 EACH 1979/80 (a)	SERIALS SUBSCRIPTIONS \$86.10 EA 1984-85 (a)	SERIALS SUBSCRIPTIONS \$92.32 EA 1986-87 (a)	SERIALS SUBSCRIPTIONS \$105.45 EA 1988-89 [0]	SERIAL SUBSCRIPTIONS NET CHANGE 1979/80 - 1988/89	SERIALS SUBSCRIPTIONS PCT CHANGE 1980 -1989
INSTITUTION T	YPE PRIVATE					
AUSTIN COLL	469	588	645	659	190	40 %
BAYLOR UNIV	4089	3920	4995	4826	735	18 %
INCRNT WORD	527	587	668	595	68	19 %
m Hardin Bayy	275	285	302	419	144	53 %
HCHURRY COL	114	102	147	149	35	31 %
NAME WITHELD	419	493	598	684	265	63 %
HAME WITHELD	442	439	368	426	-17	-4 %
MANE WITHELD	329	922	869	938	509	185 %
NAME WITHELD	773	1128	1394	1522	748	97 %
NAME WITHELD	130	112	167	169	39	30 % 93 %
NAME WITHELD	103	231	224	199	. 96	93 % 123 %
NAME WITHELD	3571	7382	8659	7988	4395	18 %
TCU	10126 2923	8932 4252	9532 4765	11951 5040	1821	72 X
100	&##J</td><td>4202</td><td>4160</td><td>5040</td><td>2115</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>All Private</td><td>24289</td><td>29365</td><td>59999</td><td>35545</td><td>11242</td><td>46 I</td></tr><tr><td>· INSTITUTION T</td><td>VPT CTATE</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>CCSU</td><td>1086</td><td>1844</td><td>2143</td><td>2167</td><td>1020</td><td>94 X</td></tr><tr><td>ETSU</td><td>2734</td><td>3375</td><td>2844</td><td>2390</td><td>-344</td><td>-13 x</td></tr><tr><td>LAMAR U</td><td>4534</td><td>5059</td><td>5154</td><td>5244</td><td>708</td><td>16 🛪</td></tr><tr><td>LARKDO ST</td><td>629</td><td>805</td><td>705</td><td>737</td><td>107</td><td>17 %</td></tr><tr><td>MIDWAT ST +*</td><td>1891</td><td>1564</td><td>1782</td><td></td><td>-62</td><td>-3 %</td></tr><tr><td>PAM AM U ++</td><td>2057</td><td>2688</td><td>2981</td><td>3871</td><td>1613</td><td>78 %</td></tr><tr><td>SF AUS S U</td><td>3831</td><td>3775</td><td>4418</td><td>4457</td><td>625</td><td>16 %</td></tr><tr><td>ersu</td><td>4243</td><td>5254</td><td>4763</td><td>4299</td><td>55</td><td>1 %</td></tr><tr><td>SUL ROSS **</td><td>1453</td><td>1320</td><td>1292</td><td>1364</td><td>-89</td><td>-6 X</td></tr><tr><td>ewteu</td><td>4230</td><td>7071</td><td>7433</td><td>7207</td><td>2974</td><td>70 %</td></tr><tr><td>TAMU **</td><td>14938</td><td>16529</td><td>19783</td><td>10161</td><td>-4781</td><td>-32 %</td></tr><tr><td>Vard-umat</td><td>765</td><td>1048</td><td>1277</td><td>1282</td><td>497</td><td>65 X</td></tr><tr><td>TEX TECH</td><td>9060</td><td></td><td>15284</td><td>13516</td><td>4451</td><td>49 %</td></tr><tr><td>TEX ALI-KNGSVL</td><td>2332</td><td>2024</td><td>1409</td><td>1377</td><td>-95€</td><td>-41 %</td></tr><tr><td>TWU</td><td>1686</td><td></td><td>3655</td><td></td><td>1690</td><td>100 %</td></tr><tr><td>u of ht</td><td>6443</td><td>6172</td><td>7604</td><td>9123</td><td>267€</td><td>42 ×</td></tr><tr><td>UH-CLR LE</td><td>2013</td><td>2067</td><td>2106</td><td></td><td>348</td><td>17 %</td></tr><tr><td>UH-DOWNTN</td><td>43?</td><td>979</td><td>1105</td><td>1138</td><td>701</td><td>160 %</td></tr><tr><td>UNIV BOUB</td><td>9373</td><td></td><td>16032</td><td></td><td>5102</td><td>54 %</td></tr><tr><td>UT-ARLING?</td><td>5778</td><td>7052</td><td>3088</td><td>5816</td><td>36</td><td>1 %</td></tr><tr><td>ut-Austin</td><td>20289</td><td></td><td>22585</td><td></td><td>3996</td><td>20 %</td></tr><tr><td>UT-SAN ANT</td><td>4335</td><td>4367</td><td>6108</td><td>4707</td><td>371</td><td>9 %</td></tr><tr><td>UT-TYLER</td><td>1434</td><td>1865</td><td>1909</td><td></td><td>183</td><td>13 %</td></tr><tr><td>AC ATHAC</td><td>1251</td><td>938</td><td>1178</td><td></td><td>-227</td><td>-18 %</td></tr><tr><td>W TY ST</td><td>2224</td><td>2253</td><td>2442</td><td>1323</td><td>-901</td><td>-40 %</td></tr><tr><td>Ali State</td><td>109043</td><td>126603</td><td>142598</td><td>128886</td><td>19794</td><td>18 %</td></tr></tbody></table>					

<sup>\*</sup> Incomplete data supplied from all private institutuions value a\* Incomplete data supplied from all atate institutions value



<sup>(</sup>a) Bowker Annual 33rd Ed. 1988, p. 362. (c) "Price Index for U.S. Periodicals" Library Journal 4/15/88 p. 35

TABLE 12

### BUYING POWER OF LIBRARY MATERIALS BUDGETS AFTER LIBRARY LINE ITEM ("UNDING ELIMINATED IN 1985/84 SERIALD

	SERIALS Subscriptio 3 \$84.10 EA 1984-85 (a)	<b>\$92.32</b>	\$104.69 EA	SUBSCRIPTIONS \$105.45 EA	SERIAL SUBSCRIPTIONS HET CHANGE 1985-1988	SERIAL SUBSCRIPTIONS PCT CHANGE 1985-1988
INSTITUTION '	TYPE PRIVATE 29385	30724	29394	33387	4004	14 X
INSTITUTION :	TYPE STATE 126603	129358	125749	132851	6248	5 %





<sup>(</sup>a) Boeker Annual. 33rd Ed. 1988 p.362.
(b) "Price Index for 1988: U.S. Periodicals." Library Journal. April 15, 1988, p. 35.

### BUYING POWER OF LIBRARY MATERIALS BUILDETS

	AFTER L	IBRARY LINE I	TEM FUNDING ELIM SERIALS	IINATED IN 198	5/86
SERIALS	SERTALS	CPDTALC	CPDTALC	CWDTAI	c

	SERIALS	SERIALS	SERIALS	SERIALS	SERIAL	SERIAL
		SUBSCRIPTIONS		SUBSCRIPTIONS	SUBSCRIPTIONS	EUBSCRIPTIONS
	\$88.10 KA	\$92.32	\$104.69 EA	\$105.45 EA	NET CHANGE	PCT CHANGE
	1984-85 (a)	1985-86 [a]	1986-87 [a]	1987-88 [Ъ]	1985-1988	1985-1988
INSTITUTION T	TYPE PRIVATE					
AUSTIN COLL	588	598	589	557	-31	-5 %
BAYLOR UNIV	3920	4501	4405	5003	1083	
INCRMT WORD	587	660	589	601	15	28 % 2 %
M HARDIN BAY+	267	281	266	344	77	29 x
MCHURRY COL	102	138	130	149	47	46 %
NAME WITHELD+	493	486	528	619	126	26 X
NAME WITHELD	439	366	324	413	-26	-6 x
NAME WITHELD	922	858	766	770	-151	-16 %
NAME WITHELD	1128	1346	1229	1610	482	43 %
NAME WITHELD	142	157	147	160	18	12 x
NAME WITHELD	231	224	197	170	-61	-26 %
NAME WITHELD	7382	8144	7636	7968	586	8 %
NAME WITHELD	8932	3619	8406	10705	1773	20 %
TCU	4252	4346	4202	4319	67	2 x
				****	••	
All Private	29385	30724	29394	33389	4004	14 X
· INSTITUTION 7	VDE GELFE					
CCSU	1844	185 <sub>0</sub>	1000	1000		
RTSU	3375	3005	1890	1938	95	5 %
LAMAR 7	5059	4828	2508	2348	-1027	-30 X
LAREDO ST	605	879	4545	5038	-21	0 %
MIDWET ST **	1564	1512	621	641	36	6 X
PAN AM U **	2686	3139	1571 2629	1180	-384	-25 %
EF AUS S U	3775	3649	3896	3867	1181	44 %
SESU	5254	4129	4200	4546	772	20 %
EUL ROSS **	1320	1217	1139	4428 1291	-82€	-16 X
SWTSU	7071	6774	6554	7269	-29	-2 %
TAMU **	16529	16914	17446	16460	198	3 X
TAMU-GALY	1048	1177	1126	1213	-69	0 %
TEX TECH	12080	13903	13478	13516	165	16 X
TEX ALI-KNGSVL	2024	2020	1242	1406	1436	12 %
TWU	4047	3462	3223	3570	-618	-31 X
U OF NT	8172	6337	670E	7890	-477	-12 %
UH-CLR LE	2067	2108	1857	2362	1718 296	28 X
UH-DOWNTN	979	1019	974	1053	74	14 % 8 %
UNIV HOUS	12131	12817	14138	15069	2938	
UT-ARLINGT	7052	715€	5827	5816	-1236	24 % -18 %
UT-AUSTIN	20500	21193	19917	21747	1247	
UT-BAN ANT	4367	5536	5388	5081	714	6 %
UT-TYLER	1865	1881	1684	1546	-319	16 X -17 X
AC\AHAC	936	954	1039	1381	445	
W TX ST	2253	2102	2153	2195	-58	48 % -3 %
490 4.*.				2200		
All State	128603	129358	125749	132851	6248	5 %

The incomplete data supplied from all private institutions value at Incomplete data supplied from all state institutions value

TABLE 12A



<sup>(</sup>a) <u>Bowker Anjusl</u> 33\*d Ed. 1988 (b) "Price Index for 1988: U.S. Periodicals" <u>Library Journal</u> 4/15/88 p. 35

#### TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES FUNDING SURVEY PE EB)

TABLE 13	BOOK BUDGETS BY	INSTITUTION TYPE
15	(4-YEAR COLLEGES	AND UNIVERSITIES

900KB 1979/B0 *	900KS 1 <b>99</b> 0/81 \$	900KS 1981/82 \$	900K9 1992/83 \$	900K9 1983/84 \$	900KS 1984/85 \$	900KS 1995/84 \$	90CKS 1986/87 \$	900KS 1997/98 \$	900KS 1987/89 \$
INSTITUTION TYPE PRIVAT All Private 2219711	E 2446780	2543282	3323281	3379196	3730002	395 <b>393</b> 2	4175539	4190949	4368227
INSTITUTION TYPE STATE All State 4963751	4517837	6032664	6545250	7558517	7423860	7599809	6041006	6394961	6125616





## TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES 'AUNDING SURVEY BOOK BUDGETS BY INSTITUTION TYPE (4-YEAR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES)

				I TIME COL	UNICADAM	MIAPUSIII	• 4			
	BOOKS	POOKS	POOKS	BOOKS	BOOKS	BOOKS	BOOKS	BOOKS	BOOKS	BOOKE
	1979/80	1980/81	1981/82	1982/83	1983/64	1964/65	1965/66	1986/67	1987/68	1966/69
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	3	3	\$	3	\$
		_	_	_	•	•	•	•	•	•
Institution										
AUSTIN COLL	62348	72023	36645	65262	70334	92167	94656	96337	109903	109230
BAYLOR UNIV	<b>3</b> 72970	446568	583346	743C71	813237	769523	663063	973558	1036909	1000196
INCRNT WORD	34170	36260	37121	35918	50500	47537	34964	19421	28724	44600
m hardin bay+	24850	21600	26500	25460	26400	35990	50325	54130	80730	125600
MCHURRY COL	32494	36324	38972	38955	33216	58707	91262	75299	64457	64457
MAME WITHELD+	37555	39420	49820	58115	59740	62552	62100	74574	81952	8364C
NAME WITHELD	<b>29700</b>	32500	32952	37695	41055	41055	45060	51330	54702	57420
NAME WITHELD	32928	52521	47392	55636	124620	161345	172177	171530	166494	226110
NAME WITHELD	29500	42184	50817	139911	127529	105210	64019	133943	128096	32863
NAME WITHELD	34053	33613	. 36126	38191	43824	41052	36664	43742	4524€	51192
HAME WITHELD	15171	18769	25634	26601	27504	21589	12652	16232	11966	14000
NAME WITHELD	896896	994641	913665	1372221	1209072	1251314	1304658	1363615	1377096	1377096
MAME WITHELD	370500	374400	377413	404000	453292	736694	795686	720000	634950	305740
TCU	246777	245737	236659	261426	296872	305048	334363	361637	349697	375683
• • • •						*********	001000	00200.	340001	313000
All Private	2219711	2446780	2543282	3323281	3379196	3730002	3953932	4175539	4190949	4368227
T1100T0007011										
INSTITUTION CCEU	TYPE STATE				_					
	46916	47863	111027	84594	70482	71937	66292	49461	50438	75120
ETSU	166172	168660	234209	239884	215527	231159	212500	121882	126034	121533
LAMAR U	171516	147571	155373	172282	191270	211200	187340	147890	153780	133000
LAREDO ST HIDWST ST **	32097	2489€	40890	30868	52669	52069	34560	23297	46950	47619
PAN AM U **	85319	64430	72298	71566	86885	97925	93029	82257	158396	118232
SF AUS S U	92815	78675	190498	184002	135945	117411	161600	133300	253060	60635
SHSU	196390	125129	188471	223466	362908	401956	425519	389700	363513	340000
EUL ROSS **	202346	203150	197810	257170	208560	196875	190592	193996	196230	216530
SWTSU	65543	57230	60955	63293	78685	84200	55311	53214	65858	69600
	271614	188554	264852	277733	335464	284764	262236	188947	178500	150000
TAMU 7*	674040	619861	616151	653924	1117127	790633	691153	425320	235717	342661
TAMU-GALY	43774	58020	52468	56752	80130	83303	7551€	43607	15813	19890
TEX TECH	298811	269795	369904	555329	502554	669905	674732	438565	449062	449062
TEX A&I-KNGSVL	151258	150503	146887	173465	116279	119424	111231	85959	65152	66000
U OF NT	35347	120908	122826	184293	176686	203262	116236	133986	106839	127189
	250000	240500	312250	360000	531440	510560	676000	559000	442000	312000
UH-CLR LE UH-DOWNTN	44600	34500	36400	40370	51070	39060	29055	29055	74405	74405
UNIV HOUS	235094	192000	109155	118242	126707	126448	166150	144000	153000	132000
	377471	192702	272074	366742	436253	513068	450764	249684	522100	479907
UT-ARLING?	261692	260769	395666	342526	329967	341520	366015	314264	720019	720019
UT-AUSTIN UT-SAN ANT	806676	990671	1556999	1727037	1922444	1637095	2119556	1636263	1526635	1537870
	116480	149750	142667	169961	202931	212262	271515	344042	276264	244370
UT-TYLER	48345	20510	15972	24761	44015	44605	65763	56206	5040	0
AC\(()HAC	90322	73624	62704	79621	98596	100227	90099	113633	114631	94745
W TX ST	44720	39560	36133	65505	77909	63153	93153	79195	73104	93030
All State	4683751	4517837	6032664	6545250	7556517	7409000	<b>#</b> £00000			
	3000102	-521001	0032004	0040700	1000011	7423660	7599609	6041005	6394961	6125616

<sup>\*</sup> Incomplete date supplied from ell private institutions value \*\* Incomplete data supplied from ell stete institutions value



TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES LIBRARY MATERIALS PER CENT OF BUDGET FOR BOOKS

	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1979/80	BOOKS	For Books	For Books	For Books	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1984/85	For Books	FOR BOOKS	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1987/88	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1988/89	NET CHANGE IN PCT FOR BOOKS
INSTITUTION All Private	TYPE PRIVA		53	59	58	56	54	54	54	51	-7
INSTITUTION All State	TYPE STATE		39	39	39	40	38	32	31	30	-11

APPENDIX Table 14

TABLE 14A

# TEXAS ACADEMIC LIBRARIES LIBRARY MATERIALS PER CENT OF BUDGET FOR BOOKS

	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1979/80	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1980/81	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1981/82	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1982/83	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1983/84	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1984/85	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1985/88	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1988/87	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1987/88	PER CENT FOR BOOKS 1988/89	NET CHANGE IN PCT FOR BOOKS
INSTITUTION TYPE PRIVATE											
AUSTIN COLL	85	68	80	54	51	51	55	55	58	55	-10
BAYLOR UNIV	51	54	60	· 61	84	57	54	57	57	57	16
INCRNT WORD	51	49	47	48	50	48	35	23	29	40	-11
M HARDIN BAY* MCHURRY COL	58	54	53	49	48	61	88	86	69	74	18
NAME WITHELD	80 58	79 54	81	75	74	84	83	83	78	78	-2
NAME WITHELD	54	52	53 49	59 53	58 51	56	54	54	54	51	-7
NAME WITHELD	56	83	58	58 58	87	51 61	56 83	. 59 . 82	54 62	55	1
NAME WITHELD	40	44	43	82	62	52	34	- 62 51	43	84 17	8
NAME WITHELD	78	77	78	75	74	77	72	71	67	72	-23 -8
NAME WITHKLD	72	58	85	57	58	52	38	44	40	40	-32
NAME WITHELD	79	83	58	71	88	63	59	58	59	59	-20
NAME WITHELD	39	39	39	40	40	49	50	45	36	39	ŏ
TCU	59	50	49	51	49	45	45	48	43	41	-18
INSTITUTION TYPE STATE											
CCSU	40	28	40	35	••				_		
ETSU	43	40	41	35	30 34	29 35	28 38	19	19	24	-18
LAMAR U	39	35	31	33	31	30 32	23	28 23	28	27	-16
LAREDO ST	41	34	42	34	45	46	32	23 28	22 41	18	-20
HIDWST ST **	41	38	59	39	39	40	38	32	56	38 38	-3
PAN AM U **	41	36	50	44	37	33	34	31	36	13	-3 -28
SF AUS S U	43	29	35	38	48	47	48	43	40	34	-9
SHSU	45	42	38	40	34	30	32	30	29	32	-13
SUL ROBS **	41	38	38	37	41	40	32	29	30	30	-11
SWTSU TAMU **	48	31	38	35	33	29	28	19	17	15	-31
TAMU-GALV	41 50	38 58	39	28	43	30	27	17	11	18	-25
TEX TECH	34	28	47 33	40 39	47	48	41	27	11	13	-37
TEX ALI-KNGSVL	51	48	44	48	34	38	30	23	23	23	-11
TWU	48	40	32	38	37 29	37 35	34	39	29	30	-21
U OF NT	40	37	40	40	52	49	24 52	27 43	21	25	-21
UH-CLR LE	28	23	24	22	23	18	13	13	34 23	24 23	-16
UH-DOWNTN	47	84	57	48	44	42	59	48	51	44	· 5 -3
univ hous	36	20	20	24	28	28	24	13	23	22	-18
UT-ARLINGT	48	42	48	41	38	36	37	34	54	54	-10
UT-AUSTIN	41	43	52	53	54	51	52	44	40	39	-2
UT-BAN ANT	32	35	34	37	34	35	34	38	33	32	Õ
UT- IYLER	33	14	11	15	20	20	25	22	3	ō	-33
YC/UHYC W TX ST	53	42	48	47	44	51	48	48	41	43	-10
4 1V DI	28	23	17	28	30	30	30	28	24	40	14

<sup>\*</sup> Incomplete data supplied from all private institutions value \*\* Incomplete data supplied from all state institutions value



TABLE 15

## BUYING POWER OF LIBRARY MATERIALS BUDGETS ACADEMIC YEARS 1979/80 - 1988/89 BOOKS

	FOR BOOKS	900KS PURCH 1979/80 @ \$22.80 EACH [a]	FOR 200K8 1994/85	BOOKS PURCH 1984/85 @ \$29.96 EA (a)	FOR BOOKS				900KB BOUGHT NET CHANGE 1980-1989	BOOKS BOUGHT PCT CHANGE 1980-1989
INSTITUTION All Private	TYPE PRIVAT 2219711	7E 97356	3730002	124499	4175539	124050	4368227	124806	27451 -	28 %
INSTITUTION All State	TYPE STATE 4983751	214200	7 <b>42386</b> 0	247792	6041006	179471	6125616	175018	-391 <b>9</b> 2	-10 %

1201



<sup>(</sup>a) Bowker Annual. 33rd Ed. 1988 p. 362
(b) University of Houston/Blackwell North America Projection

# BUYING POWER OF LIBRARY HATERIALS BUDGETS ACADEMIC YEARS 1979/80 - 1985/89 BOOKS

	FOR BOOKS	BOOKS PURCH 1979/80 @ \$22.80 RACH [a]	BUDGET FOR BOOKS 1984/85	BOOKS PURCH 1984/85 @ \$29.96 EA [a]		BOOKS PURCH 1986/67 @ \$33.66 EA [a]	BUDGET FOR BOOKS 1988/89	BOOKS PURCH 1988/89 @ \$35.00 EA [b]	BOOKS BOUGHT HET CHANGE 1980-1989	BOOKS BOUGHT PCT CHANGE 1980-1989
Institution	TYPE PRIVA	TE								
aubtin coll	82348	2735	92187	3077	96337	2862	109230	3121	388	14 %
BAYLOR UNIV	372970	16358	769523	25685	973558	28923	1000196	28577	12219	75 X
INCHIT WORD	34170	1499	47537	1587	19421	577	44800	1280	-219	-15 %
H HANDIN BAY	24850	1081	35990	1201	54120	1608	125800	3594	2513	232 🗓
MCHURRY COL	32494	1425	56707	1893	75299	2237	64457	1842	416	29 🛪
NAME WITHELD	97555	1647	62552	2088	74574	2218	83640	2390	743	45 X
NAME WITHELD	29700	1303	41755	1370	51330	1525	57420	1641	338	26 %
RAME WITHELD	32928 29500	1444	161345	5385	171530	5098	226110	6460	5016	347 X
NAME WITHELD	34053	1294 1494	105210	3512	133943	3979	32863	939	-355	-27 %
NAME WITHELD	15171	865	41052 21569	1370	43742	1300	51192	1463	-31	-2 <b>x</b>
NAME WITHELD	896896	39338	1251314	721 41768	16232	452	14600	400	-265	-40 %
NAME WITHELD	370500	16250	738894	24683	1363615 720000	40511	1377096	39346	8	.0 *
TCU	248777	10826	305049	10132	381837	21390 11344	805740	23021	6771	42 %
		10020	300049	10132	301037	11344	375683	10734	-80	-1 <b>x</b>
All Privete -	2219711	97356	5730002	124499	4175539	124050	4388227	124806	27451	28 3
· INSTITUTION	TYPE STATE									
CCSU	48918	2056	71937	2401	49461	1489	71 20	21.40		
RTSU	168172	7376	231159	7716	121892	3621	121.33	2148 3472	88	4 %
LAMAR U	171516	7523	211200	7049	147890	4394	133000	3800	~3904 -3723	-53 X
LAREDO 89	32087	1407	52089	1738	25297	752	47819	1361	-3723	~49 % -3 %
HIDWST ST **	85319	3742	97925	3269	82257	2444	118232	3378	-384	-10 %
PAN AH U **	92815	4071	117411	3919	133300	3960	60835	1732	-2338	-57 X
ep aus s u	196390	8614	401956	13416	389700	11578	34000	9714	1101	13 %
8B80	202346	8875	196675	8565	19399€	5763	21653	8187	-2888	-30 %
EUL ROSS **	65543	2875	84200	2810	53214	1581	696C0	1989	-886	-31 %
SWTSU	271614	11913	284764	9505	188947	5613	150000	4288	-7627	-64 %
TAHU **	874040	29553	790633	26390	425320	12638	342861	9796	-19767	-67 X
TAMU-GALY	43774	1920	83303	2780	43607	1296	19890	568	-1352	-70 X
TEX TECH	298811	13103	669905	22360	438585	13029	449062	12830	-275	-2 %
TEX AAI-KNGSVL TWU	151258	6634	119424	3988	85959	2554	68000	1888	-4748	-72 X
TWU U OF NY	85347	3743	203262	6784	133986	3981	127189	3634	-109	-3 X
UH-CLR LE	250000	10965	510580	17042	559000	16607	312000	8914	-2051	-19 <b>x</b>
UH-DOWNTN	44800 235094	1965	39080	1304	29055	583	74405	2126	161	8 %
UNIV HOUS	377471	10311 18556	126448	4221	144000	4278	132000	3771	-6540	-83 X
UT-ARLINGT	281892	12355	513088 341520	17126	249884	7424	479907	13712	-2844	-17 %
UT-AUSTIN	508676	35389	1837095	11399	314264	9336	720019	20572	8217	67 X
UT-SAN ANT	118480	5197	212262	61318 7085	1638283	48672	1637E J	46798	11407	32 %
UT-TYTER	48345	2120	44605	1489	344042	10221	244370	6982	1785	3/ %
AC\OH.C	90322	3981	100227	3345	56206 113683	1670	04746	0	-2120	-106 \$
W TX 3T	44720	1961	83153	2775	79195	3377 2363	94745	2707	-1254	-32 X
		1401	33103	2110	19190	2303	93030	2658	697	36 %
All State	4883751	214200	7423860	247792	6041005	179471	6125616	175016	-39182	-18 X

<sup>\*</sup> Incomplete date supplied from all private institutions value
\*\* Incomplete data supplied from all state institutions value

SABLE 16



53

<sup>(</sup>a) Bowker Annual, 33rd Ed. 1988 p. 362

<sup>(</sup>b) Univ Houston/Blackwell NA projection

## BUYING POMER OF LIBRARY MATERIALS BUDGETS AFTER LIBRARY LINE ITEM FUNDING ELIMINATED IN 1985/84 BOOKS

	BUNGET FOR BOOKS 1984/85	BOOKS BOUGHT \$29.96 EA 1984-85 [a]				900KB 90UGHT \$33.66 EA 1986-87 (a)		800KS 80UGHT \$35.00 EA 1987-88 (b)	BOOKS BOUGHT NET CHANGE 1985-1988	BOOKS BOUGHT PCT CHANGE 1985-1989
INSTITUTION All Private	TYPE PRIVATE	E 124499	3 <b>95</b> 3932	124455	<b>4175539</b>	124050	4190949	119741	-4758	-4 <b>%</b>
. INSTITUTION All State	TYPE STATE 7423860	247792	75 <del>99</del> 809	239213	6041006	17 <b>94</b> 71	6394961	182713	-65079	-26 %

<sup>(</sup>a) Bowker Annual, 1988 33rd Ed. p.362.
(b) University of Houston / Blackwell North America projection

## BUYING POMER OF LIBRARY MATERIALS BUDGETS AFTER LIBRARY LINE ITEM FUNDING ELIMINATED 3M 1985/86 BOOKS

	EUDGET FOR BOOKS 1964/85	BOOKS BOUGHT \$29.96 EA 1984-85 [a]	BUDGET FOR BOOKS 1985-86	BOOKS BOUGHT \$31.77 EA 1985-86 [a]	BUDGET FOR BOOKS 1986-87	\$33.66 EA	PUDGET FCR BOOKS 1987-68	BOOKS BOUGHT \$35.00 KA 1967-66 [b]	BOOKS BOUGHT NET CHANGE 1965-1988	BOOKS LOUGHT PCT CHANGE 1965-1969	
INSTITUTION		TE									
AUSTIN COLL	92187	3077	94856	2986	96337	2662	109903	3140	63	2 %	
BAYLOR UNIV	769523	25685	663083	27167	973558	28923	1036908	29626	3941	15 X	
INCRNT WORD	47537	1587	34964	1101	19421	577	28724	821	-766	-46 %	
H HARDIN BAY*	35990	1201	50325	1584	54120	1608	80730	2307	1105	92 X -3 X	
HCHURRY COL NAME WITHELD*	56707	1893	51282	2558	75299	2237	64457	1842	-51	-3 % 12 %	
MAME WITHELD	62552 41055	2088 1370	62100 <b>450</b> 60	1955 1 <b>419</b>	74574 51330	2216	81956 54702	2342 1563	254 193	14 %	
NAME WITHELD	161345		172177	5419	171530	1525 5096	186494	5328	-57	-1 X	
NAME WITHELD	105210	5385 3512	64019	2015	133943	3979	121096	3660	146	4 %	
NAME WITHKLD	41052	1370	36664	1217	43742	1300	45246	1293	-77	-6 %	
NAME WITHELD	21569	721	12652	396	16232	462	11966	342	-376	-52 %	
NAME WITHELD	1251314	41766	1304656	41066	1363615	40511	1377096	39346	-2421	-6 %	
MANS WITHELD	738834	24663	795668	25045	720000	21390	634950	16141	-6521	-26 %	
TCU	305048	10182	334383	10525	361837	11344	349697	9991	-190	-2 %	
	******		***************************************					*****			
All Private	3730602	124458	3953932	124455	4175539	124050	4190949	119741	-4756	-4	
** INSTITUTION	TYPE STATE										
CCEU	71937	2401	66292	2087	49461	1469	50438	1441	-960	-40 %	
ETEU	231159	7716	212500	6689	121892	3621	126034	3601	-4115	-53 %	
LAMAR U	211200	7049		5697	147690	4394	153780	4394	-2656	-38 %	
LAREDO ST	52069	1738	34560	1098	25297	752	46950	1341	-397	-23 X	
MIDWET ST **	97925	3269	93029	2928	22257	2444	156398	4526	1257	36 %	
PAN AM U **	117411	3919	18150?	5083	133300	3960	253080	7~31	3312	85 %	
U 8 SUA TE	401958	13416	425519	13394	389700	11578	383513	16.58	-2459	-13 %	
8 <b>H</b> S0	198675	6565	190592	5999	193996	5763	196230	<b>&lt; 90?</b>	-958	-15 %	
SUL <b>BOG</b> B **	84200	2810	55311	1741	53214	1581	65858	1662	-929	-33 %	
ewtsu	284754	8505	262238	8254	188947	5613	178500	5100	-4405	-46 X	
TAMU **	790633	26390		21755	425320	12636	235717	6735	-19655	-74 %	
Tamu-galy	83303	2760	75516	2377	43607	1296	15613	452	-2329	-84 %	
TEX TECH	669905	22360		18090	438565	13029	449062	12830	-9530	-43 %	
TEX ALI-KNGSVI	119424	3966	111231	3501	85959	2554	65152	1861	-2125	-53 X	
THO	203262	6784		3659	133986	3961	106639	3053	-3732	-55 %	
U OF HT	510580	17042		21276	559000	16607	442000	12629	-4413	-26 %	
UH-CLR LE	39060	1304	29055	915	29055	363	74405	2126	622	63 %	
UH -DOWNTN	126448	4221	166150	5293	144000	4276	153000	4371	151	4 %	
UNIV BOUS	513088	17126 11399		14165	249684	7424 9336	522100	14917	-2209	-13 % 80 %	
UT-ARLINGT	341520 1837095			12213	314264		720019	20572 '3581	9173	80 % -29 %	
UT-AJ8T1N UT-SAN ANT	212262			66716	1638263 344042	48672	1528435 276284		-17637		
UT-TYLER		7085		8546		10221		7693	606	11 %	
AC\ORAC	44605			2071	56206	1679 3377	5040	144	-1345	-90 % -2 %	
W TX ST	100227 53153	3345 2775		2835 2617	113663 79195	2353	11、831 73104	3281 2069	-64 -687	-2 X -25 X	
- 10 01	33103	2770	03133	-011	13130	2383	,5104	2003	307	-25 4	
All State	7423860	247792	7599609	239213	6041005	179471	6394961	182713	-65079	-·4 Z	,

<sup>\*</sup> Incomplete data supplied from all private institutions value e\* Incomplete data supplied from all state institutions value



57


٠٠,

<sup>(</sup>a) Bowker Annual 33rd Ed. 1988 p. 362.
(b) University of Houston/Blackwell Morth America projection

# APPENDIX GRAPH AI

LIBRARY SUPPORT AS A PERCENT OF INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES 10% 9% 85 78 65 4% **3**K 2% . 1% -79/60 . 60/81 82/83 83/84 85/86 86/87 87/88 mo/m (Budgeted) ALL STATE SUPPORTED ACADEMIC LIBRARIES
D. ALL INSTITUTIONS









APPENDIX

GRAPH A3













APPENDIX GRAPH B1






APPENDIX
GRAPH B2






APPENDIX
GRAPH B3






APPENDIX GRAPH B4





APPENDIX
GRAPH C1


#### LIBRARY MATERIAL EXPENDITURES AS A





APPENDIX GRAPH C2

#### LIBRARY MATERIAL EXPENDITURES AS A





APPENDIX

GRAPH C3

#### LIBRARY MATERIAL EXPENDITURES AS A

